1 JONATHAN B. STEINER Executive Director 2 RONNIE DUBERSTEIN Staff Attorney 3 (State Bar No. 150989) CALIFORNIA APPELLATE PROJECT 520 South Grand Ave., 4th Floor 4 Los Angeles, CA 90071 5 Telephone: (213) 243-0300 Attorneys for Defendant 6 John Doe 7 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 THE PEOPLE OF THE Los Angeles County 12 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Sup.Ct.No. BA????? 13 Plaintiff and Respondent, 14 MOTION TO CORRECT v. PRESENTENCE CUSTODY CREDITS 15 JOHN DOE, 16 Defendant and Appellant. 17 18 TO THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. STONE, JUDGE OF THE 19 SUPERIOR COURT, WEST DEPARTMENT B02: 20 21 Defendant John Doe, by his attorney, hereby moves 22 this court to correct presentence credits awarded in this 23 case and issue a new Abstract of Judgment in the above-24 entitled case to reflect an award of 262 days of presentence 25 custody credits. This motion is based on the attached 26 Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Declaration of RONNIE 27 DUBERSTEIN, attached Exhibits, and the file in this case. 28 1

#### MOTION TO CORRECT PRESENTENCE CUSTODY CREDITS

1	The anounder for the metion are that defendent is subit.
1	The grounds for the motion are that defendant is entitled to
2	30 days more credit for presentence custody than awarded in
3	the judgment, and that the erroneous credit calculation
4	represents an unauthorized sentence which can and should be
5	corrected by this court.
6	
7	DATED: June 2, 2004 Respectfully submitted,
8	CALIFORNIA APPELLATE PROJECT
9	JONATHAN B. STEINER Executive Director
10	
11	RONNIE DUBERSTEIN
12	Attorneys for Defendant
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2
	MOTION TO CORRECT PRESENTENCE CUSTODY CREDITS

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1

2	Penal Code section 1237.1 requires that errors in
3	the award of presentence custody credits be addressed to the
4	sentencing court, even when the matter is pending on appeal.
5	This statute codifies recent Court of Appeal opinions.
6	(People v. Wrice (1995) 38 Cal.App.4th 767; People v. Green
7	(1995) 36 Cal.App.4th 280, 284; People v. Fares (1993) 16
8	Cal.App.4th 954, passim; People v. Little (1993) 19
9	Cal.App.4th 449, passim.) An erroneous credit calculation
10	is an act in excess of the sentencing court's jurisdiction,
11	and therefore may be corrected by that court whenever
12	brought to its attention. (People v. Jack (1989) 213
13	Cal.App.3d 913, 917; Wilson v. Superior Court (1980) 108
14	Cal.App.3d 816, 818-819.)
15	The sentencing court must grant a defendant actual
16	time credits both for the day of arrest and the day of
17	sentencing. ( <i>People v. Browning</i> (1991) 233 Cal.App.3d 1410,
18	1412; People v. Smith (1989) 211 Cal.App.3d 523, 525-527.)
19	This is true even where fractions of a day are concerned.
20	(People v. Scroggins (1987) 191 Cal.App.3d 502, 508.)
21	(reopie v. Scioggins (1907) 191 Cal.App.Su 302, 300.)
22	The abstract of judgment indicates that defendant was
23	given credit for 202 days of actual custody (CT 62). The
24	reporter's transcript indicates that the court gave
25	defendant credit for 158 actual days served before being
26	placed on probation, plus 44 actual days in custody awaiting
27	the probation violation proceedings and open case upon which
28	

# 3 MOTION TO CORRECT PRESENTENCE CUSTODY CREDITS

1 the violation proceedings were based, plus 32 conduct days 2 for both periods in custody, yielding a total of 234 days. 3 (RT D-11-12.)

4

21

5 According to the probation report, Mr. Doe was 6 initially arrested on August 25, 2002, for an offense 7 charged in this case, and he bailed out on September 20, 8 2002. There are 27 actual custody days between and 9 including those two dates. He was then re-arrested on 10 September 21, 2002, and remained in custody until he was 11 granted probation on February 24, 2003. (CT 66-67.) There 12 are 157 actual custody days between and including those two 13 dates. He was in custody again for the open case (which was 14 eventually dismissed) and probation violation proceedings 15 from November 25, 2003, through January 8, 2004, when the 16 court imposed the previously suspended sentence. (RT D-13.) 17 There are **45** actual days between and including those two 18 dates. Consequently, defendant is entitled to 229 days of 19 credit for actual time served, i.e., 27 more days than he 20 was given.

This correction affects the award of goodtime/work-time credits, which for a violent felony sentence is calculated by multiplying the actual days in custody by 0.15 and dropping any fractions of a day. (Penal Code, § 2933.1) In this case, defendant was awarded 30 days of goodtime/work-time credit. (CT 62). Under the above-describe formula, he is entitled to <u>34</u> days good-time/work-time.

## 4 MOTION TO CORRECT PRESENTENCE CUSTODY CREDITS

Therefore, defendant is entitled to a total of  $\underline{263}$  total days of custody credit for all time spent in custody on this case. Defendant therefore requests that an amended abstract reflecting the appropriate credits be prepared and forwarded to the Department of Corrections, with copies provided to the Court of Appeal, to counsel for the People and the undersigned. DATED: June 2, 2004 Respectfully submitted, CALIFORNIA APPELLATE PROJECT JONATHAN B. STEINER Executive Director RONNIE DUBERSTEIN Attorneys for Defendant MOTION TO CORRECT PRESENTENCE CUSTODY CREDITS

#### DECLARATION OF RONNIE DUBERSTEIN

1

2 I, RONNIE DUBERSTEIN, declare under penalty of perjury: 3 1. I am the staff attorney at the California 4 Appellate Project assigned to represent defendant, John Doe 5 on appeal following the judgment of conviction in Superior 6 Court case number BA?????. 7 2. The appeal is pending in Division Four of the 8 Second Appellate District. 9 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true copy 10 of the Abstract of Judgment which comprises page 62 of the 11 Clerk's Transcript on Appeal. 12 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true copy 13 of pages of the probation report related to defendant's time 14 in custody, which comprise pages 66-67 of the Clerk's 15 Transcript on Appeal. 16 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true copy of 17 pages D-12 and D-13 of the Reporter's Transcript on Appeal, 18 indicating that defendant was in custody since November 25, 19 2003, on matters that formed the basis for the probation 20 violation proceedings. 21 22 Executed June 2, 2004, at Los Angeles, 23 California. 24 25 RONNIE DUBERSTEIN 26 27 28 6 MOTION TO CORRECT PRESENTENCE CUSTODY CREDITS





